

## DURAN V. U.S.

### HEADNOTE

by Ira Brad Matetsky

Source: U.S. Supreme Court website (under “Orders of the Court”).

Opinion by: Sonia Sotomayor (given in source).

Opinion date: November 14, 2025 (given in source).

Citation: Duran v. United States, 5 Rapp no. 21, 2025 WL 3186207 (2025).

Additional information:

This document was issued as an order by Justice Sotomayor and appeared on the Supreme Court website under the designation “Miscellaneous Order,” but as discussed in the introductory essay to this issue, it had the same effect as an in-chambers opinion and so is included here. The case involves efforts to collect a judgment from the estate of the late President of the Philippines, Ferdinand Marcos. The Jadycean nature of Marcos-related litigation is reflected in the fact that allegations of Marcos family corruption were the subject of an in-chambers opinion by then-Chief Justice Warren E. Burger almost 40 years ago – Burger’s last opinion of any kind before retiring from the Court. *Araneta v. United States*, 478 U.S. 1301, 3 Rapp 1243 (1986) (Burger, C.J., in Chambers).

**OPINION**

Supreme Court of the United States

No. 25A515

JOSE DURAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPRESENTATIVE  
OF A CLASS OF JUDGMENT CREDITORS  
OF THE ESTATE OF FERDINAND E. MARCOS,

Applicant

v.

UNITED STATES, ET AL.

ORDER

UPON FURTHER CONSIDERATION of the application of counsel for the applicant and the response filed thereto,

IT IS ORDERED that the stay heretofore issued by the undersigned on November 5, 2025, is hereby vacated. Given the Government's representation that it will not transfer the funds outside of the United States before the disposition of any petition for a writ of certiorari, the application for stay is denied.

/s/ Sonia Sotomayor  
Associate Justice of the Supreme  
Court of the United States

Dated this 14th  
day of November, 2025